

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,381	09/29/2000	Rezaur Rahman	042390P8797	1947	
7	7590 04/02/2004		EXAMI	NER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			BUI, KIEU	BUI, KIEU OANH T	
Seventh Floor					
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2611	<u></u>	
		Ε		, P	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	The state of the s				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/676,381	RAHMAN, REZAUR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KIEU-OANH T BUI	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	larch 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-35 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1)	4) Interview Summary	(PTC-413)			
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Art Unit: 2611

English language.

3.

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants canceled claims 1-15 in the response to election/restriction requirement in paper no. 5, dated 03/11/04. New claims 31-35 are added. Pending claims are claims 16-35.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the
- Claims 16, 19, 23-24, 27, 31-32, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,453,355 B1).

Regarding claim 16, Jones discloses a source transmitter, a media server (as shown in Fig. 8), comprising: an interface to a communications link, a memory, and a logic circuit to transmit an announcement comprises an attribute to announce metadata that provides information about at least one available video program or enhancement to be received at a client receiver, i.e., a media server 694 communicates with a client system 682 (as shown in Fig. 8) via data communication link 686 regarding as an interface for communication link, when a user at the client device requests a presentation, the source transmitter server transmits data information as an announcement including metadata information to the client receiver (Fig. 3 for a procedure to present media data including metadata to the client receiver, and col. 7/line 55 to col. 8/line 65; and Figs. 4-5 for meta-data information, and col. 1/line 50 to col. 3/line 60 for detailed

Art Unit: 2611

information ion meta-data, with "moov" (in figures) denotes "meta-data"); furthermore, the media server further includes a memory and a logic circuit to perform the mentioned process (Fig. 7 for a digital processing system, which may be used in either a client server system, a web server system or a conventional server system, col. 15/lines 15-15 with either memory 654 or a mass memory 662 and a processor 652 for handling the logical operation of meta-data, as mentioned in col. 2/lines 39-49).

As for claims 19, 27, and 32, Jones further discloses "wherein said announcement comprises an identifier for said metadata", i.e., meta-data comprises a track header with its ID as an identifier (col. 3/lines 5-40).

As for claims 23 and 35, Jones further discloses "wherein said logic circuit transmits said metadata after said announcement has been transmitted", i.e., after notifying the client at step 309, metadata can be optionally reassembled at the receiving device, and the media can be played based on hint tracks for different network transports (col. 9/lines 12-47).

Regarding claim 24, Jones discloses "a machine readable medium comprising a software routine to cause a logic circuit to transmit an announcement including an attribute to announce metadata that provides information about at least one available video program or enhancement for receiving at a client receiver" (see col. 15/line 15 to col. 16/line 37 for a machine readable medium, and claim 16 above).

Regarding claim 31, this claim is rejected for the reasons given in the scope of claim 16 above with further "means for storing an announcement" as Hint generation and processing unit within server 694 (Fig. 8) can be stored within memory or mass memory of a digital processing system within the client server (Fig. 7, and col. 15/line 15-44 7 col. 16/lines 14-37).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/676,381

Art Unit: 2611

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-18, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (U.S. Patent No. 6,453,355 B1).

Regarding claims 17 and 25, Jones does not disclose "wherein said announcement is compliant with an Advanced Television Enhanced Forum (ATVEF) standard"; however, the Examiner takes Official Notice that this is not a novel issue since the Applicants basically apply the present claiming system to comply to a standard which already developed by others, namely the ATVEF, also admitted in the present specifications, which develops standards for television and Internet sometime in the early 1999 or earlier. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jones' system with a known standard as of the ATVEF Group in order to comply with this standard for communicating between servers and clients using the television and Internet systems.

As for claims 18 and 26, Jones further discloses "wherein said announcement conforms to a Session Description Protocol (SDP)" (col. 24/lines 36-42 as SDP is used).

6. Claims 20-22, 28-30, and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (U.S. Patent No. 6,453,355 B1) in view of Blackketter et al. (US Patent 6,560,777 B2).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 20, 28, and 33, Jones does not further disclose "wherein said identifier comprises a Universally Unique Identifier (UUID)"; however, the Examiner takes an Official Notice that this is well-known in the art and it had been developed by the others. UUID or Globally Unique Identifier (GUID) is commonly and widely used in the world; and it is a numeric or alphanumeric string of characters or bits, and generally being understood by all systems (Newton Dictionary, 19th edition). A universal resource locator or an URL such as www.uspto.gov is an example of a GUID or UUID. Furthermore, Blackketter, in a same environment of providing media services to users (Fig. 3, and col. 2/lines 30-67), teaches to further include a Universal Resource Identifier or URI served an exact same purpose as the claiming UUID of the present application (see Blackketter, col. 3/lines 1-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jones' system with a known technique as using an UUID as an identifier or an URI as taught by Blackketter within the announcement from the source transmitter as desired. The motivation for doing this is to provide the receiving device an URI of the destination source for additional information via the Internet.

As for claims 21-22, 29-30, and 34, in further view of claims 20, 28, and 33 above, the combination of Jones and Blacketter teaches "wherein the announcement comprises a network address for a database having stored therein said metadata" and "wherein said network address comprises an Internet Protocol (IP) address (see Blackketter, col. 3/lines 1-30 for URI as network address for a destination resource or a database having stored data and on col. 5/line 55 to col. 6/line 37 for TCP/IP addressed, and the data further includes metadata as disclosed by Jones as discussed earlier).

Art Unit: 2611

## Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rafey et al. (US Pat. No. 6,452,598 B1) disclose a system and method for authoring and testing three-dimentional (3-D) content based on broadcast triggers using a standard VRML authoring protocol.

Freed (US No.2003/0037181 A1) discloses a method and apparatus for providing process-container platforms.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 99. 2121 Crystal Drive. Arlington. V.A.. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile, can be reached on (703) 305-4380.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Krista Bui Art Unit 2611 March 29, 2004 KRISTA BUI PATENT EXAMINER

A Lumb